



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/642,532	08/15/2003	Robert L. Rae	18279-14445	2923
758	7590	02/23/2009		
FENWICK & WEST LLP SILICON VALLEY CENTER 801 CALIFORNIA STREET MOUNTAIN VIEW, CA 94041			EXAMINER SHAH, ANTIM G	
			ART UNIT 2614	PAPER NUMBER
			MAIL DATE 02/23/2009	DELIVERY MODE PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office Action Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/642,532	RAE, ROBERT L.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	ANTIM SHAH	2614	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 06 January 2009.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1,3,12,13,15,17-22,25,32,40-42,59,62,63,71 and 96-98 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1,3,12,13,15,17-22,25,32,40-42,59,62,63,71 and 96-98 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
- ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 - ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
 - ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- | | |
|--|---|
| 1) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413) |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____ |
| 3) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application |
| Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>1/6/2009</u> . | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____ |

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

2. **Claims 1, 3, 12, 13, 15, 17-20, 32, 40-42, 59, 62, 63, 71 and 96-98** are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over U.S. Patent No. 6,985,478 to *Pogossiants et al.* ("*Pogossiants*") in view of U.S. Patent Publication No 2003/0126470 to *Crites et al.* ("*Crites*").

As to **claim 1**, *Pogossiants* discloses a call processing platform for providing call processing services to multiple facilities [column 11 line 1 to column 12 line 46], comprising:

a networking device connected via digital data links to call processing gateways at the multiple facilities [Fig. 5, T-server 95, column 11 lines 10-34, column 11 lines 1-9, column 12 lines 18-46, particular when the large call center host organization may utilize the present invention with T-server control to distribute calls over a wide geographic region with many call centers and routing points, column 5 lines 38-61, "IP routers", "VOIP gateways"], at least one of the multiple facilities located remotely from the call processing platform [Fig. 5. column 11 lines 26-34, 57-61, T-server that is located remotely from call center

facility 93] , each of the multiple facilities including multiple telephone terminals [Fig. 5, telephone stations 31, 33, 35, 38], the networking device receiving or sending data packets converted from or into call signals at the call processing gateways [column 11 lines 10-61, column 14 lines 39-64];

a call application management system connected to the networking device and the unauthorized call activity detection system for processing and transmitting the calls from the multiple telephone terminals to a first telephone carrier network [column 16 lines 47-67, Switching Entity (SWE), Fig. 6, SWE is connected to CTI server, CTI server is connected to Internet network via IP router, Fig. 7, column 17 lines 47-59, column 21 lines 42-51].

Pogossiants does not expressly disclose wherein the facilities are prison facilities. However, *Pogossiants* discloses a facility with multiple telephone terminals that comprises a call processing system for use in processing calls associated with multiple facilities [see column 4 lines 37-62, column 11 line 1 to column 12 line 46]. Thus, it would have been obvious to the person of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to use the call center call processing system for use in processing calls associated with the facility as taught by *Pogossiants* in a prison facility by coupling router and T-Server equipment to direct calls. The motivation for using the call center call processing system in a prison facility is to improve the configurability of the telephony system by providing a consistent call model for call control. Further, a system in a

reference may obviously be used in different environments, such as a prison, without departure from the teachings of the reference.

Pogossiants briefly teaches an unauthorized call activity detection system connected to the networking device for detecting unauthorized call activity associated with calls placed using one or more of the multiple telephone terminals [column 14 lines 43-49, column 16 lines 50-54, call control entity, column 22 lines 2-7, which recites the call control entity that provides Hang-up requests if a call is not allowed to continue];

In the same or similar fields of endeavor, *Crites* discloses the multiple prison facilities [Fig. 1, paragraph 0015] and the unauthorized call activity detection system for detecting three-way call activity associated with calls placed using one or more of the multiple telephone terminals [*Crites* paragraph 0027, 0140].

It would have been obvious to the person of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify *Pogossiants* to have the multiple prison facilities and the unauthorized call activity detection system for detecting three-way call activity associated with calls placed using one or more of the multiple telephone terminals as taught by *Crites*. The suggestion/motivation would have been to provide an effective system that is capable of establishing correlations of inmate calling activities from one correctional institution and system to another so that monitoring resources can best be utilized [*Crites* paragraph 0006].

As to **claim 3**, *Pogossiants* discloses wherein the data packets comprise voice over Internet protocol data packets [column 4 lines 63-67, which recite a Voice over Internet Protocol network environment in which the call control and call switching mechanisms reside].

As to **claim 12**, *Pogossiants* discloses wherein said call processing gateways comprise voice over Internet protocol gateways [column 5 lines 1-3, which teach a Voice over Internet Protocol gateway].

As to **claim 13**, *Pogossiants* discloses wherein each of said call processing gateways provide at least one local area network interface for coupling with a computer workstation [See figure 6, which recites computer workstations 601 and 621 that are connected to the router through the local area network 604].

As to **claim 15**, *Pogossiants* discloses wherein said call application management system communicates with said first telephone carrier network using digital data packets [column 16 lines 47-67, Switching Entity (SWE), Fig. 6, SWE is connected to CTI server, CTI server is connected to Internet network via LAN, Fig. 7, column 17 lines 47-59, column 21 lines 42-51]. It is inherent that the call application management system communicates with internet using data packets.

As to **claim 17**, *Pogossiants* discloses a media gateway connected to the networking device for placing said calls on said first telephone carrier network using analog signals [Fig. 5, column 11 lines 10-25].

As to **claim 18**, *Pogossiants* does not expressly disclose call recording system.

Crites disclose call recording system [*Crites* paragraph 0033].

As to **claim 19**, *Pogossiants* briefly teaches a billing system, connected to said call application management system for providing real-time call accounting [column 12 lines 47-61, which teaches handling bill collection and credit analysis within the network]. It is extremely obvious and well known in the art to have billing system that provides real-time call accounting. This information is used by the service provider to provide detail billing record to their customer.

Crites also discloses billing data related to called number [*Crites* paragraph 0005, “CDR”, 0100].

As to **claim 20**, *Pogossiants* discloses a validation system connected to said call application management system for authorizing connecting of said calls to said first telephone carrier network [column 14 lines 43-49, column 16 lines 50-54, call control entity, column 22 lines 2-7, which recites the call control entity that provides Hang-up requests if a call is not allowed to continue].

As to **claim 32**, *Pogossiants* discloses interactive voice response functionality for providing messaging associated with processing of the calls [column 11 lines 36-38].

As to **claim 40**, *Pogossiants* discloses wherein said first carrier network comprises a SIP (Session Initiation Protocol) carrier [column 3 lines 29-35].

As to **claim 41**, *Pogossiants* discloses wherein said first carrier network comprises a MGCP (Media Gateway Control Protocol) carrier [column 3 lines 29-35, column 19 lines 26-39].

As to **claim 42**, *Pogossiants* discloses wherein said first carrier network comprises the PSTN (Public Switched Telephone Network) [Fig. 5, Fig. 6].

As to **claim 59**, *Pogossiants* discloses a method for processing calls for multiple facilities, the method carried out in a call processing platform, the method comprising [column 11 line 1 to column 12 line 46]:

connecting with call processing gateways at the multiple facilities via a digital data links [Fig. 5, T-server 95, column 11 lines 10-34, column 11 lines 1-9, column 12 lines 18-46, particular when the organization hosting many call-centers facilities], at least one of the multiple prison facilities located remotely from the call processing platform [Fig. 5. column 11 lines 26-34, 57-61, T-server that is located remotely from call center facility 93], each of the multiple facilities including multiple telephone terminals [Fig. 5, telephone stations 31, 33, 35, 38];

processing calls from the multiple telephone terminals received via call processing gateways for transmission over a telephone carrier network column 11 lines 10-61, column 14 lines 39-64]; and

Pogossiants does not expressly disclose wherein the facilities are prison facilities. However, *Pogossiants* discloses a facility with multiple telephone terminals that comprises a call processing system for use in processing calls associated with multiple facilities [see column 4 lines 37-62, column 11 line 1 to

column 12 line 46]. Thus, it would have been obvious to the person of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to use the call center call processing system for use in processing calls associated with the facility as taught by *Pogossiants* in a prison facility by coupling router and T-Server equipment to direct calls. The motivation for using the call center call processing system in a prison facility is to improve the configurability of the telephony system by providing a consistent call model for call control.

Pogossiants briefly teaches detecting unauthorized call activity associated with the calls [column 14 lines 43-49, column 16 lines 50-54, call control entity, column 22 lines 2-7, which recites the call control entity that provides Hang-up requests if a call is not allowed to continue].

In the same or similar fields of endeavor, *Crites* discloses the multiple prison facilities [Fig. 1, paragraph 0015] and the unauthorized call activity detection system for detecting three-way call activity [*Crites* paragraph 0027, 0140].

It would have been obvious to the person of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify *Pogossiants* to have the multiple prison facilities and the unauthorized call activity detection system for detecting three-way call activity as taught by *Crites*. The suggestion/motivation would have been to provide an effective system that is capable of establishing correlations of inmate calling activities from one correctional institution and system to another so that monitoring resources can best be utilized [*Crites* paragraph 0006].

As to **claim 62**, *Pogossiants* discloses the method of claim 59, further comprising: coupling said call processing platform to the telephone carrier network via an analog interface [Fig. 5 bridge 87, column 9 lines 24-31, column 11 lines 10 to column 12 line 3].

As to **claim 63**, *Pogossiants* discloses the method of claim 59, further comprising: coupling said call processing platform to the telephone carrier network via a digital interface [Fig. 5 bridge 87, column 9 lines 24-31, column 11 lines 10 to column 12 line 3].

As to **claim 71**, *Crites* discloses recording the calls from the multiple telephone terminals [*Crites* Paragraph 0033]; and analyzing content of the calls for particular utterances to determine presence of threats in the calls [*Crites* Paragraph 0033, 0038].

As to **claim 96**, *Pogossiants* discloses wherein the call application management system is further configured to processing and transmitting the calls from the multiple telephone terminals to a second telephone carrier network, the call application management system selecting either the first telephone carrier network or the second telephone carrier network for transmission of the calls [column 11 lines 10-25]. As per *Pogossiants*, Router acts as SCP for IPNT-originated calls and may route them to the IPNT call center or via the bridge to the COST network. [column 11 lines 23-25].

As to **claim 97**, *Pogossiants* discloses wherein the call application management system establishes connection for the calls over the first telephone

carrier network and switches to connection over the second telephone carrier network responsive to detecting a predetermined event [column 11 lines 49-column 12 lines 3]. As per *Pogossiants*, if the call is miss-routed due to error (predetermined event), it can rerouted to another location in Internet or route the call back to PSTN [column 11 lines 49-column 12 lines 3].

As to **claim 98**, *Pogossiants* discloses the method of claim 59, further comprising: selecting one telephone carrier network among multiple telephone carrier networks connected to the call processing platform for processing and transmission of the calls responsive to receiving the calls from the multiple telephone terminals [Fig. 5, Internet 15, PSTN 13, telephone stations 31, 33, 35, 38, column 11 lines 10-25]. As per *Pogossiants*, Router acts as SCP for IPNT-originated calls and may route them to the IPNT call center or via the bridge to the COST network. [column 11 lines 23-25].

3. **Claims 21-22, 25** are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over *Pogossiants* and *Crites* (as applied above) in further view of U.S. Patent No. 7,333,798 to *Hodge* ("*Hodge*").

As to **claim 21**, *Pogossiants* and *Crites* teaches everything claimed, as applied to claim 1, with the exception of a justice application management system and a commerce system for managing commissary orders placed by the inmates.

In the same field of endeavor, *Hodge* teaches the justice application management system [*Hodge* col. 21 lines 48-60] and a commerce system for

managing commissary orders placed by the inmates [*Hodge* column 6 lines 33-49].

It would have been obvious to the person of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify *Pogossiants* and *Crites* to have the justice application management system as taught by *Hodge*. The suggestion/motivation would have been to identifying and authenticating an institutional calling party [*Hodge* column 9 lines 54-61].

As to **claim 22**, *Hodge* teaches whether a call forwarding feature is activated for call numbers associated with the calls [*Hodge* col. 8 lines 18-33].

As to **claim 25**, *Hodge* teaches wherein said justice application management system further provides investigative information with respect to said calls [*Hodge* col. 21 lines 48-60].

Response to Arguments

4. Applicant's arguments filed on 01/06/2009 with respect to claims 1, 3, 12, 13, 15, 17-22, 25, 32, 40-42, 59, 62, 63, 71, 96-98 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.
5. Applicant's arguments filed on 01/06/2009 with respect to claim 1 and 59 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.
6. On page 8 of applicant's remark, the applicant argues the following:
 - "Pogossiants fails to disclose this feature. In Pogossiants, the TS server 95 is not connected to call processing gateways at multiple facilities. As shown in Figure 5, the TS server 95 in the PSTN 13 is associated with one call center

93. Although Pogossiants describes using T-Server control to perform load balancing between call centers (see Pogossiants, col. 12, ll. 20-23) or to distribute calls over a wide geographic region with many call centers (see Pogossiants, col. 12, ll. 37-45), T-Server control appears to be performed by the bridge 87 and not the TS server 95.....”

7. The examiner respectfully disagrees with Applicant’s arguments, because Pogossiants teaches that a large call center host organization may utilize the present invention with **T-server control** to distribute calls over a wide geographic region with **many call centers and routing points** [Column 12 lines 37-41]. Pogossiants also teaches VOIP IP network with switching matrix of IP router and VOIP gateways [Column 5 lines 38-61]. It is obvious and well known in the art that in VOIP distributed network there should be a central network device that will be distributing/routing the calls to the respective call processing gateways. Thus, Pogossiants teaches networking device connected via digital links to call processing gateways.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to ANTIM SHAH whose telephone number is (571)270-5214. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday to Friday 7:30 am-5:00 pm EST.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner’s supervisor, Ahmad Matar can be reached on (571)272-7488. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 2614

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/A. S./

Examiner, Art Unit 2614

/Ahmad F Matar/

Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2614